Antonio García-Domínguez 15th Transformation Tool Contest STAF 2023, Leicester, UK



# The Epsilon Solution to the KMEHR to FHIR Case

## **General approach**



#### M2M transformation

- Epsilon Transformation Language is similar to ATL
- Transformation was only specified as ATL code and author is unfamiliar with KMEHR and FHIR
- Decided to translate the ATL code to ETL

#### Focus: traceability

- ETL is an interpreted language - overhead expected compared to ATL's compiled execution
- Focus is more on traceability, and making it easier to relate input and output models



## **Differences between ATL and ETL**

## **Assignment operators and helpers**



#### ATL "←" vs ETL "::="

- Both mean "assign to I-value result of transforming r-value"
- "::=" cannot be used with values not transformed
- ETL lacks "mapsTo" to limit who is "equivalent": filtering is needed

#### Helpers vs context ops

- ATL helpers were turned into EOL context ops
- ETL does not have the . /
  → distinction from OCL
- EOL @cached controls memory spend to save time / produce consistent results (e.g. uuid())

## Number of source objects per rule



Listing 2: Excerpt of the Posology rule in ATL
rule Posology {
from
f : KMEHR!FolderType,
tx : KMEHR!TransactionType,
i : KMEHR!ItemType,
s : KMEHR!PosologyType (
i.posology = s <b>and</b>
tx.item->includes(i) and
f.transaction->includes(tx) and
i.isMedication
)
to
t : FHIR!MedicationStatement mapsTo s (
//
),
//
1

	Listing 1: Excerpt of the Posology rule in ETL
1	rule Posology
2	transform s: KMEHR!PosologyType
3	to t: FHIR!MedicationStatement, msid: FHIR!Id,
4	/* */
5	{
6	<b>var</b> i = s.eContainer();
7	<b>var</b> tx = i.eContainer();
8	<pre>var f = tx.eContainer();</pre>
9	//
10	}

- ETL only allows one source (avoids "cartesian product" cost of pattern matching: ATL 4.8.0+ uses local search)
- No issue for this tx just focus on innermost object and use eContainer()

## Lazy rules and rule inheritance



#### Lazy rules

- Original tx used them heavily: most translated into ETL lazy rules, and some into EOL operations (e.g. FhirString)
- Lazy rules slow down ETL: would have needed to redesign tx to avoid them

#### **Rule inheritance**

- Original ATL had base non-abstract rule + extra rules which extended it
- ATL will only generate one set of objects across base rule + subrules
- ETL will produce separate objects across rules

## Rule inheritance: ATL vs ETL

- ATL had SumEHRTransaction base rule + 3 extensions of it (one extension combined WithAuthor and WithCustodian)
- ETL just has one rule with two if statements in its body
  - Personally, I think this is easier to understand...

inneri-

## **Enumeration literals in ATL and ETL**



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

<b>var</b> genderMap = <b>Map</b> {	1
KMEHR!CDSEXvalues#changed	2
= FHIR!AdministrativeGenderEnum#other,	3
KMEHR!CDSEXvalues#female	4
= FHIR!AdministrativeGenderEnum#female,	5
KMEHR!CDSEXvalues#male	6
= FHIR!AdministrativeGenderEnum#male,	7
KMEHR!CDSEXvalues#unknown	8
= FHIR!AdministrativeGenderEnum#unknown,	9
KMEHR!CDSEXvalues#undefined	10
= FHIR!AdministrativeGenderEnum#other	11
};	12

- In #changed, ATL can guess enumeration from the context
- In Epsilon 2.4.0, you would need fully-qualified name (KMEHR!CDSEXvalues#changed)
- In Epsilon 2.5.0, it will be enough to have an unambiguous reference: #changed will work so long as there is no other enumeration literal with the same name
- Change was just merged, so we did not have time to work this into the solution



## Generation and visualisation of transformation traces with Picto

## Trace generation from ETL

- ETL produces trace but does not save it: users extract wanted info
- Java wrapper of ETL script has an algorithm to do this, based on custom metamodel (see figure)
- Trace models are standalone from source/target models due to lack of KMEHR/FHIR tree editors
- Containment forests from source/target models are reproduced, then pruned



## **Trace visualisation with Picto**



#### EGL and EGX

- EGL is an Epsilon language for writing model-to-text tx
- EGX is an orchestration language to decide which EGL scripts to run against which model elements

### **Using Picto**

- Write EGX + EGL scripts which visualize the neighbourhood of an elem
- For trace file x.trace, add a x.trace.picto file pointing Picto to the EGX orchestration script
- Picto will do the UI for us

## Picto visualisations: rule and source



UNIVERSITY

## **Picto visualisations: target**





Visualisation helped find the "orphan" objects not within the target DocumentRoot, and point to the rules that needed improvement - this fixed one bug in the ETL script causing test failures.



## **Benchmark results and conclusion**

## **Benchmark results** ETL is solid line, ATL is dashed line

#### Memory usage





#### Performance



## Conclusions



#### **Overall comparison**

- ETL took fewer lines of code (1096 lines vs 1319)
- ETL used similar memory but was slower - want to refactor tx to avoid lazy rules (should be faster!)
- Picto-based trace viz was easy and helped fix bug

#### **Changes in Epsilon**

- Optimised ETL internal trace data structure (from flat list to Guava Network)
- Easier enum literals from Epsilon 2.5.0
- Fixed thread deadlock in Picto from GTK/Linux



### Thank you!

### a.garcia-dominguez@york.ac.uk